



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,556	01/25/2001	Mark J. Kraffert	MICE-0099-US (00.02703)	4879
75	590 07/09/2004		EXAMINER	
Dan C. Hu TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.			KHATRI, ANIL	
8554 Katy Freeway, Suite 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX			2124	9
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004	, 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A

<del></del>						
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/~			
	09/769,556	KRAFFERT, MARK J.	1			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anil Khatri	2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mair - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) N ute. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	January 2001					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) dobjected	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I			(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in  iority documents have been  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(e)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S Patent and Trademark Office.	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/769,556

Art Unit: 2124

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Parker et al* USPN 5,781,720.

Regarding claims 1, 13, 20 and 21

Parker et al teaches,

- a display to display the output as a bitmap (see figures 2, 4 and 15, column 2, lines 58, "GUI environment hold...");
- a test routine (see figures 13-15),
- a capture routine invocable by the test routine to extract data from a predefined region of the bitmap (column 3, lines 12-31, "bitmap validation is...application testers"),
- the test routine adapted to receive the extracted data to perform a test procedure (column 28, lines 30-36, "to enables cross platform testing... code itself").

Regarding claims 2 and 14

Parker et al teaches.

- extracted data with a predetermined string (column 27, lines 56-65, "query a text field... validation database").

Regarding claims 3, 4, 15, 16, 22 and 23

Parker et al teaches,

Application/Control Number: 09/769,556

Art Unit: 2124

- a communication client adapted to communicate with the system (column 33, lines 38-45, "inter process communication... 3 GUI").

Regarding claims 5, 6, 18 and 19

Parker et al teaches,

- the bitmap comprises an array of pixels, and wherein the predefined region comprises a rectangular region (column 28, lines 53-65, "bitmap difference... considered significant").

Regarding claims 7-9

Parker et al teaches,

- the output comprises a table having a first column of fields and a second column of fields (column 29, lines 35-59, "suppose that two tables... columns in the table").

Regarding claims 10 and 17

Parker et al teaches,

test routine is adapted to refresh the bitmap to obtain an updated output of the software application (column 2, lines 22-45, "validate software... button release 1").

Regarding claim 11

Parker et al teaches,

- test routine is adapted to invoke the capture routine to extract the data and to perform the test procedure after each refresh (column 30, lines 7-14, "refers to any... and memory").

Regarding claim 12

Parker et al teaches,

Art Unit: 2124

- test routine is adapted to repeat invoking the capture routine and performing the test procedure until a time-out occurs (see figures 3 and 5).

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- USPN 6625598
- USPN 5669000

USPN 5410681Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anil Khatri whose telephone number is 703-305-0282. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

\*\*\*

ÄNIL KHATHI PRIMARY EXAMINI

llw